EXHIBIT A

12/06/2024 12:25PM	
BY: RPORTER	
DEPUTY	

1	MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD	
2	259 North Meyer Avenue	
3	Tucson, Arizona 85701 Phone: (520) 624-8886	
4	Fax: (520) 798-1037	
	Email: bholtzman@mcrazlaw.com	
5	ecfpima@mcrazlaw.com	
6	By: Barney M. Holtzman, #016554	
7	12464-1	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	ADIZONA SHE	PERIOR COURT
0	ARIZONA SUF	ERIOR COURT
11	COCHISE	E COUNTY
12	KATIE FAHAD, an individual,	No. CV202400100
13	Plaintiff,	AMENDED COMPLAINT
ا 4	vs.	
15	CHIRICAHUA COMMUNITY HEALTH	(Jury Trial Requested)
16	CENTERS, INC., an Arizona corporation,	(A : 1, H II F X 117 I I
17	Defendant.	(Assigned to Hon. John F. Kelliher, Jr.)
	Defendant.	
18		
19		
20	For her complaint, Plaintiff Katie Fahad ("Fah	nad") alleges as follows:
21	PARTIES, JURISDIO	CTION, AND VENUE
22	Plaintiff Katie Fahad is a resident	nt of Cochise County, Arizona and at all
23	relevant times had been the Director of Health	Center Operations in the employment of
24	Defendant Chiricahua Community Health Cer	nters, Inc. ("CCHCI") in Arizona.
25	2. Defendant CCHCI is a non-prof	fit corporation doing business in Cochise

26

County, Arizona.

25

26

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter as all relevant events occurred in Cochise County.
- 4. At all relevant times, Fahad was an "employee" of Defendant as defined by A.R.S. § 41-1461 and 42 U.S.C. §12111(4).
- 5. At all relevant times, CCHCI was an employer of Fahad as defined by A.R.S. § 41-1461 and 42 U.S.C. §12111(5).
- 6. Fahad is a qualified individual with a disability under A.R.S. § 41-1461 and 42 U.S.C. §12111(8).
 - 7. Venue is proper in this Court under A.R.S. § 12-401.
- 8. This is a Tier 2 case under Rule 26.2(b), Ariz. R. Civ. P., and is not eligible for assignment to compulsory arbitration.
- 9. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies and has timely brought

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. In or around February 2022, Fahad began her employment with CCHCI as the Director of Health Center Operations.
- 11. Beginning in or around April 2022, Fahad was given a remote work agreement by CCHCI.
- 12. The remote work agreement did not have an expiration date and permitted Fahad to work from home once per week.
- 13. Fahad performed her work, at least satisfactorily, between April 2022 and January 2023.
- 14. In January 2023, CCHCI's CEO Jonathan Melk ("Melk") was Fahad's direct supervisor.
- 15. Fahad took January 3 and 4, 2023 off from work. She informed CCHCI she needed the time off because she was "unwell."

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

- 16. On or around the evening of January 4, 2023, Melk requested over instant message that Fahad perform a site visit of a clinic on January 5, 2023.
- 17. Fahad informed Melk that, due to her disability, she would not be able to attend in person on that day, would be utilizing her work from home day, and would visit the clinic on January 6, 2023.
- 18. Melk denied Fahad's reasonable accommodation request and terminated her remote work agreement over instant message at or about 9:00 p.m. on January 4, 2023.
- 19. Melk did not suggest another reasonable accommodation, request additional information about Fahad's disability or symptoms, or request information about her mental impairment.
- 20. On or around January 5, 2023, Fahad provided Melk with medical documentation from her doctor supporting the need for reasonable accommodation.
- 21. Melk demanded Fahad provide personal information about her specific disability, which Fahad initially refused.
- 22. After the third demand from Melk, Fahad provided him the requested personal information about her disability.
- 23. After Fahad provided the additional personal information about her disability and again requested she be permitted to work remotely at least one day per week, Melk stated again her remote work agreement was revoked.
 - 24. Melk did not suggest another reasonable accommodation.
- 25. Other similarly situated non-disabled employees of CCHCI are permitted to work remotely entirely and are not subject to any in-office requirements.
- 26. Other similarly situated non-disabled employees of CCHCI are permitted to work remotely at least one-day a week.
- 27. Beginning on or about January 6, 2023, and continuing through February 2023, Fahad made numerous complaints to CCHCI's Human Resources Department,

45

6

8

7

9 10

1112

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

including written requests for reasonable accommodation.

- 28. Fahad's initial request for accommodation included a letter from her doctor suggesting either some remote work or a reduction in working hours.
- 29. CCHCI's HR Department directed Fahad to get a revised doctor note before they would consider her accommodations request.
- 30. Fahad reached out to her provider, who sent a revised letter requesting a 32-hour work week where two days per week would be remote.
- 31. CCHCI's HR Department denied Fahad's reasonable accommodation request for remote work and failed to engage in an interactive process by refusing to discuss their decision.
- 32. After Fahad's complaints, CCHCI changed Fahad's supervisor from Melk, the CEO, to Jessica King ("King"), the Chief of Staff, and Fahad was told to refrain from contacting Melk.
- 33. After Fahad's complaints, Melk and King excluded Fahad from meetings, cancelled meetings with her, and undermined her in front of other employees.
- 34. Melk's and King's actions continually triggered the symptoms of Fahad's disability.
 - 35. Fahad complained to HR about her treatment by Melk and King.
 - 36. CCHCI took no effective corrective action to address Fahad's concerns.
- 37. In or around February 2023, Fahad felt compelled to submit her resignation, listing her last day of work as March 3, 2023.
 - 38. CCHCI's HR changed Fahad's last day of work to February 23, 2023.
- 39. In March 2023, Fahad raised concerns to CCHCI's Board of Directors about CCHCI's and Melk's misuse of grant money, improper payment of wages and benefits, improper procurement practices, fiscal mismanagement, security risks, compliance lapses, sex discrimination, and overall mismanagement.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

COUNT I

Disability Discrimination A.R.S. § 41-1461 *et seq.* and 42 U.S.C. §12101 *et seq.*

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations above.
- 41. Plaintiff has a disability under A.R.S. § 41-1461(5) and 42 U.S.C. §12102.
- 42. Defendant subjected Plaintiff to different terms and conditions of employment based on her disability.
- 43. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with reasonable accommodations based on her disability.
 - 44. Defendant constructively discharged Plaintiff.
- 45. Defendant's stated reasons, if any, for failing to provide Plaintiff with reasonable accommodations for her disability are pretextual.
- 46. Defendant's discriminatory conduct was deliberate, willful, and performed with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has suffered financial and emotional damages.

COUNT II

Hostile Work Environment A.R.S. § 41-1461 et seq. and 42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq.

- 48. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations above.
- 49. Plaintiff has a disability under A.R.S. § 41-1461(5) and 42 U.S.C. §12102.
- 50. Defendant subjected Plaintiff to different terms and conditions of employment based on her disability.

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

2

- 51. Defendant treatment and actions against Plaintiff constitute violations of the Arizona Civil Rights Act, A.R.S. § 41-1463, and the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12112, which prohibit workplace discrimination.
- 52. Defendant's discriminatory conduct was deliberate, willful, and performed with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights.
- 53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has suffered financial and emotional damages, including emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of enjoyment of life.

COUNT III

Constructive Discharge, A.R.S. § 23-1502

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations above.
- 55. Plaintiff's working conditions were objectively difficult or unpleasant to the extent that a reasonable employee would feel compelled to resign.
- 56. Plaintiff gave Defendant at least fifteen days' notice that Plaintiff intended to resign because of her working conditions.
 - 57. Defendant failed to respond to Plaintiff's concerns.
- 58. Plaintiff felt compelled to resign because of the objectively difficult or unpleasant working conditions to which she was subjected.
 - 59. Defendant constructively discharged Fahad.
- 60. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Katie Fahad respectfully requests:

A. Compensatory damages for pain and suffering, humiliation, damage to reputation, loss of enjoyment of life and emotional distress in an amount to be proven at trial;

1	В.	Back pay and front pay i	n an amount to be proven at trial;	
2	C. Punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial;			
3	D. Attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this lawsuit under A.R.S. §§ 12-341 & 4			
4	1472 and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e; and			
5	E.	Additional relief as the C	Court deems just.	
6				
7				
8	DATED:	November 22, 2024	MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD	
9				
10			By: /s/ Barney M. Holtzman	
11			Barney M. Holtzman Attorneys for Plaintiff	
12				
13				
14		ed with AZ TurboCourt and		
15	of November	by e-mail on this 22nd day er, 2024, to:		
16	Gordon Lev	wis		
17	Stephanie B	Baldwin		
18	Jones, Skelt 40 N. Centr	on & Hochuli P.L.C. al Avenue		
19	Suite 2700			
20	Phoenix, AZ glewis@jsh			
21	sbaldwin@j	ishfirm.com es@jshfirm.com		
22		or Defendant		
23				
24	By: /s/ Lolli	<u>ie Hannigan</u>		
25				
26				

EXHIBIT B

Case 4:24-cv-00598-JR Document 1-3 Filed 12/13/24 Page 10 of 45 $_{
m Amy\, Hunley}^{
m FILED}$ CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT

Amy Hunley
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
02/15/2024 2:08PM
BY: LTUPER
DEPUTY

Case No.: S0200CV202400100 HON. TERRY BANNON

1	MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD		
2	259 North Meyer Avenue		
3	Tucson, Arizona 85701		
5	Phone: (520) 624-8886		
4	Fax: (520) 798-1037		
5	Email: <u>bholtzman@mcrazlaw.com</u> Email: <u>clujan@mcrazlaw.com</u>		
6	ecfpima@mcrazlaw.com		
7	By: Barney M. Holtzman, #016554		
	Caitlin G. Lujan, #038210		
8	12464-1/rno		
9	A C DI : CCC		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
11	ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT		
12			
13	COCHISE COUNTY		
14	KATIE FAHAD, an individual,	No.	
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT	
16	VS.	Jury Trial Requested	
17	CHIRICAHUA COMMUNITY HEALTH	July Illai Requested	
	CENTERS, INC., an Arizona corporation,		
18		Hon	
19	Defendant.		
20	For her complaint, Plaintiff Katie Fahad ("Fahad") alleges as follows:		
21	PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE		
22	1. Plaintiff Katie Fahad is a resident of Cochise County, Arizona and at all		
23	relevant times had been the Director of Health Center Operations in the employment of		
24	Defendant Chiricahua Community Health Ce	enters, Inc. ("CCHCI") in Arizona.	
25	2. Defendant CCHCI is a non-pro	fit corporation doing business in Cochise	
26	County, Arizona.		

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter as all relevant events occurred in 1 Cochise County. 2 3 4. At all relevant times, Fahad was an "employee" of Defendant as defined by A.R.S. § 41-1461. 4 5. 5 At all relevant times, CCHCI was an employer of Fahad as defined by A.R.S. 6 § 41-1461. 7 6. Fahad is a qualified individual with a disability under A.R.S. § 41-1461. 7. 8 Venue is proper in this Court under A.R.S. § 12-401. 9 8. This is a Tier 2 case under Rule 26.2(b), Ariz. R. Civ. P., and is not eligible for assignment to compulsory arbitration. 10 Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies and received a Right to 9. 11 Sue Letter from the Arizona Civil Rights Division of the Attorney General's Office. 12 13 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS** 14 10. In or around February 2022, Fahad began her employment with CCHCI as the Director of Health Center Operations. 15 16 11. Beginning in or around April 2022, Fahad was given a remote work 17 agreement by CCHCI. 18 12. The remote work agreement did not have an expiration date and permitted Fahad to work from home once per week. 19 20 13. Fahad performed her work, at least satisfactorily, between April 2022 and January 2023. 21 22 14. In January 2023, CCHCI's CEO Jonathan Melk ("Melk") was Fahad's direct supervisor. 23 Fahad took January 3 and 4, 2023 off from work. She informed CCHCI she 24 15.

On or around the evening of January 4, 2023, Melk requested over instant

needed the time off because she was "unwell."

25

26

16.

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

message that Fahad perform a site visit of a clinic on January 5, 2023.

- 17. Fahad informed Melk that, due to her disability, she would not be able to attend in person on that day, would be utilizing her work from home day, and would visit the clinic on January 6, 2023.
- 18. Melk denied Fahad's reasonable accommodation request and terminated her remote work agreement over instant message at or about 9:00 p.m. on January 4, 2023.
- 19. Melk did not suggest another reasonable accommodation, request additional information about Fahad's disability or symptoms, or request information about her mental impairment.
- 20. On or around January 5, 2023, Fahad provided Melk with medical documentation from her doctor supporting the need for reasonable accommodation.
- 21. Melk demanded Fahad provide personal information about her specific disability, which Fahad initially refused.
- 22. After the third demand from Melk, Fahad provided him the requested personal information about her disability.
- 23. After Fahad provided the additional personal information about her disability and again requested she be permitted to work remotely at least one day per week, Melk stated again her remote work agreement was revoked.
 - 24. Melk did not suggest another reasonable accommodation.
- 25. Other similarly situated non-disabled employees of CCHCI are permitted to work remotely entirely and are not subject to any in-office requirements.
- 26. Other similarly situated non-disabled employees of CCHCI are permitted to work remotely at least one-day a week.
- 27. Beginning on or about January 6, 2023, and continuing through February 2023, Fahad made numerous complaints to CCHCI's Human Resources Department, including written requests for reasonable accommodation.

8

11

10

13

12

1415

16

1718

19

2021

22

24

23

25

- 28. Fahad's initial request for accommodation included a letter from her doctor suggesting either some remote work or a reduction in working hours.
- 29. CCHCI's HR Department directed Fahad to get a revised doctor note before they would consider her accommodations request.
- 30. Fahad reached out to her provider, who sent a revised letter requesting a 32 hour work week where two days per week would be remote.
- 31. CCHCI's HR Department denied Fahad's reasonable accommodation request for remote work and failed to engage in an interactive process by refusing to discuss their decision.
- 32. After Fahad's complaints, CCHCI changed Fahad's supervisor from Melk, the CEO, to Jessica King ("King"), the Chief of Staff, and Fahad was told to refrain from contacting Melk.
- 33. After Fahad's complaints, Melk and King excluded Fahad from meetings, cancelled meetings with her, and undermined her in front of other employees.
- 34. Melk's and King's actions continually triggered the symptoms of Fahad's disability.
 - 35. Fahad complained to HR about her treatment by Melk and King.
 - 36. CCHCI took no effective corrective action to address Fahad's concerns.
- 37. In or around February 2023, Fahad felt compelled to submit her resignation, listing her last day of work as March 3, 2023.
 - 38. CCHCI's HR changed Fahad's last day of work to February 23, 2023.
- 39. In March 2023, Fahad raised concerns to CCHCI's Board of Directors about CCHCI's and Melk's misuse of grant money, improper payment of wages and benefits, improper procurement practices, fiscal mismanagement, security risks, compliance lapses, sex discrimination, and overall mismanagement.

1 **COUNT I** Disability Discrimination, A.R.S. § 41-1461 et seq. 2 3 40. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations above. Plaintiff has a disability under A.R.S. § 41-1461(5). 4 41. 5 42. Defendant subjected Plaintiff to different terms and conditions of employment 6 based on her disability. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with reasonable accommodations based 7 43. 8 on her disability. 9 44. Defendant constructively discharged Plaintiff. 45. Defendant's stated reasons, if any, for failing to provide Plaintiff with 10 reasonable accommodations for her disability are pretextual. 11 12 46. Defendant's discriminatory conduct was deliberate, willful, and performed with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights. 13 14 47. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has suffered financial and emotional damages. 15 16 **COUNT II** 17 Hostile Work Environment, A.R.S. § 41-1461 et seq. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations above. 18 48. 19 49. Plaintiff has a disability under A.R.S. § 41-1461(5). 20 50. Defendant subjected Plaintiff to different terms and conditions of employment 21 based on her disability. 22 51. Defendant treatment and actions against Plaintiff constitute violations of the Arizona Civil Rights Act, A.R.S. § 41-1463, which prohibit workplace discrimination. 23 52. 24 Defendant's discriminatory conduct was deliberate, willful, and performed with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights. 25 26

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
	4
2	5

///

///

26

53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has suffered financial and emotional damages, including emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of enjoyment of life.

COUNT III

Constructive Discharge, A.R.S. § 23-1502

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations above.
- 55. Plaintiff's working conditions were objectively difficult or unpleasant to the extent that a reasonable employee would feel compelled to resign.
- 56. Plaintiff gave Defendant at least fifteen days' notice that Plaintiff intended to resign because of her working conditions.
 - 57. Defendant failed to respond to Plaintiff's concerns.
- 58. Plaintiff felt compelled to resign because of the objectively difficult or unpleasant working conditions to which she was subjected.
 - 59. Defendant constructively discharged Fahad.
- 60. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Katie Fahad respectfully requests:

- A. Compensatory damages for pain and suffering, humiliation, damage to reputation, loss of enjoyment of life and emotional distress in an amount to be proven at trial;
- B. Back pay and front pay in an amount to be proven at trial;
- C. Punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial;

1	D. Attorneys' fees and cos	ets incurred in this lawsuit under A.R.S. § 41-1472; and
2	E. Additional relief as the	Court deems just.
3	DATED: February 15, 2024	MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD
4		
5		By: /s/Caitlin G. Lujan
6		Barney M. Holtzman Caitlin G. Lujan
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff
8		
9	4892-3612-8153, v. 2	
10	4092-3012-0133, V. 2	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		

Case 4:24-cv-00598-JR Document 1-3 Filed 12/13/24 Page 16 of 45

Person/Attorney Filing: Caitlin Lujan Mailing Address: 259 N. Meyer Ave. City, State, Zip Code: Tucson, AZ 85701

Phone Number: (520)624-8886

E-Mail Address: clujan@mcrazlaw.com
[] Representing Self, Without an Attorney

(If Attorney) State Bar Number: 038210, Issuing State: AZ

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE

Katie Fahad

Plaintiff(s), Case No. S0200CV202400100

v.

Chiricahua Community Health SUMMONS

Centers, Inc. Defendant(s).

To: Chiricahua Community Health Centers, Inc.

WARNING: THIS AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT FROM THE COURT THAT AFFECTS YOUR RIGHTS. READ THIS SUMMONS CAREFULLY. IF YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND IT, CONTACT AN ATTORNEY FOR LEGAL ADVICE.

- 1. A lawsuit has been filed against you. A copy of the lawsuit and other court papers were served on you with this Summons.
- 2. If you do not want a judgment taken against you without your input, you must file an Answer in writing with the Court, and you must pay the required filing fee. To file your Answer, take or send the papers to <u>Clerk of the Superior Court, PO Drawer CK, Bisbee, Arizona 85603 or electronically file your Answer through one of Arizona's approved electronic filing systems at http://www.azcourts.gov/efilinginformation.</u>

Mail a copy of the Answer to the other party, the Plaintiff, at the address listed on the top of this Summons.

Note: If you do not file electronically you will not have electronic access to the documents in this case.

3. If this Summons and the other court papers were served on you within the State of Arizona, your Answer must be filed within TWENTY (20) CALENDAR DAYS from the date of service, not counting the day of service. If this Summons and the other court papers were served on you outside the State of Arizona, your Answer must be filed within THIRTY (30) CALENDAR DAYS from the date of service, not counting the day of service.

Requests for reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities must be made to the court by parties at least 3 working days in advance of a scheduled court proceeding.

GIVEN under my hand and the Seal of the Superior Court of the State of Arizona in and for the County of COCHISE

SIGNED AND SEALED this date: February 15, 2024

Amy Hunley Clerk of Superior Court

By:*LTUPER*Deputy Clerk



CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT 02/15/2024 2:08PM BY: LTUPER DEPUTY

Person/Attorney Filing: Caitlin Lujan Mailing Address: 259 N. Meyer Ave. City, State, Zip Code: Tucson, AZ 85701

Phone Number: (520)624-8886

E-Mail Address: clujan@mcrazlaw.com [□] Representing Self, Without an Attorney

(If Attorney) State Bar Number: 038210, Issuing State: AZ

Case No.: S0200CV202400100 HON. TERRY BANNON

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE

Katie Fahad

Plaintiff(s),

Case No.

Chiricahua Community Health

Centers, Inc. Defendant(s). **CERTIFICATE OF** COMPULSORY ARBITRATION

I certify that I am aware of the dollar limits and any other limitations set forth by the Local Rules of Practice for the Cochise County Superior Court, and I further certify that this case IS NOT subject to compulsory arbitration, as provided by Rules 72 through 77 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this

By: Caitlin Lujan /s/ Plaintiff/Attorney for Plaintiff

Case 4:24 cv (00598-JR Docume	nt 1-3 Filed	12/13/24	Page 20 of 45
Attorney or Party without Attorney: Caitlin G. Lujan, Esq. MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD 259 N. Meyer Avenue Tucson, AZ 85701 TELEPHONE No.: (520) 624-8886				
Attorney for: Plaintiff Katie Fahad	·	Ref No. or File No.;		FILED
		12464	-1	1
Insert name of Court, and Judicial District and Branch Co In COCHISE COUNTY SUPERIOR COL		CHISE		FEB 2 6 2024
Petitioner: Katie Fahad, an Individual				CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT
Respondent: Chiricahua Community Health Cel	nters, Inc., an Arizona corporati	on		BY ECTION COURT
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	HEARING DATE:	TIME:	DEPT.:	CASE NUMBER: S0200CV202400100

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Arizona that the foregoing is true and correct. At the time of service I was at least 21 years of age and authorized to serve process in this case.

I served copies of the SUMMONS; COMPLAINT; CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION

a. Party served

Chiricahua Community Health Centers, Inc., by service upon its Statatory Agent, Gary McPherran,

CPA

b. Person Served

Gary McPherran - Statutory Agent

Age: 56 - 60 Race: Caucasian Sex: Male Eyes: Blue Height: 5'7 - 6'0 Weight: 201-220 Lbs Hair: Gray/White

Address where served: 2710 E 7th St

Douglas, AZ 85607-3579

- 5. I served the party
 - a. I personally delivered the documents to the party or person authorized to receive service of process for the party (1) on: 2/20/2024 (2) at: 3:11 PM

Person who served papers:

NATIONWIDE

Name: Daniel Ronnie County of Pima, PM-039 177 N. Church Ave. Ste. 1015 Tucson, AZ 85701 (520) 624-9700 www.NationwideLegal.com

The fee for service was: \$ 333.80



Date: February 20, 2024

(Daniel Ronnie)

TUC88420



1 Gordon Lewis, Bar #015162 Stephanie Baldwin JONES, SKELTON & HOCHULI P.L.C. 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2700 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: (602) 263-4479 Fax: (602) 200-7897 glewis@jshfirm.com minuteentries@jshfirm.com 6 Attorneys for Defendant Chiricahua Community Health Centers, Inc 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 8 COUNTY OF COCHISE 9 KATIE FAHAD, an individual, 10

NO. S0200 CV202400100

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

DEFENDANT CHIRICAHUA

CHIRICAHUA COMMUNITY HEALTH

v.

COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

CENTERS, INC., an Arizona corporation,

(Assigned to the Honorable Terry Bannon)

14 15

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Defendant Chiricahua Community Health Centers (CCHCI) for its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, admits, denies and alleges as follows:

> CCHCI denies each and every allegation not specifically admitted in this 1.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

2. Answering Paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI admits only that Plaintiff was employed as the Director of Health Center Operations for CCHCI in Arizona. CCHCI is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

Answer.

remaining allegations in Paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, and therefore denies same and demands strict proof thereof.

- 3. CCHCI admits the allegations contained in Paragraphs 2, 3, 4 and 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 4. CCHCI is without knowledge or information sufficient to form belief as to the truth of the allegation contained in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint, and therefore denies same and demands strict proof thereof.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI admits only that venue is proper in this Court.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI admits only that the matter is not eligible for assignment to compulsory arbitration.
- 7. CCHCI is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained in Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint, and therefore denies same and demands strict proof thereof.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. CCHCI admits the allegations contained in Paragraphs 10 and 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 9. CCHCI denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 12 and 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI admits only that Dr. Melk supervised Plaintiff the first eight (8) days of January, 2023.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI admits only that Plaintiff took off from work on January 3 and January 4, 2023.
- 12. Answering Paragraphs 16, 17 and 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI denies that Plaintiff disclosed a disability, and denies that Dr. Melk denied a reasonable accommodation

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for Plaintiff's alleged disability. CCHCI admits that Dr. Melk asked Plaintiff to work on site at the Benson clinic, CCHCI affirmatively asserts that a CCHCI Benson clinic employee was critically injured in an automobile accident following work at CCHCI on December 30, 2022, from which she subsequently died. Health center staff expressed varying degrees of shock and grief. CCHCI leadership believed that members of the leadership team should be physically present at the Benson clinic during this time to support Benson staff, including Plaintiff, who was the supervisor of the Benson Clinic Administrator who had requested time off to grieve. Dr. Melk asked Plaintiff if she would be physically present as an Administrator at the Benson Clinic on January 5, 2023, because Plaintiff had indicated that she would be returning that day, and the Benson Clinic would not have an Administrator on the premises. Plaintiff wrote Dr. Melk the evening of January 4, 2023, stating that she would not go to the Benson Clinic and instead planned to work from home. Dr. Melk disapproved Plaintiff's request to work remotely because of the need for leadership personnel to be physically present in support of the Benson staff during this difficult time. Plaintiff indicated that she would take PTO rather than work remotely, and Dr. Melk approved Plaintiff's PTO request. At no time during this exchange did Plaintiff indicate that she was disabled or that she was requesting the ability to work remotely as an accommodation for a disability.

- 13. CCHCI denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24,25 and 26 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI admits only that Plaintiff raised issues with the CCHCI's Human Resources Department and made written request for reasonable accommodation.
- 15. CCHCI admits the allegation contained in Paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's Complaint

1314

15

1617

18

19 20

2122

2324

- 16. Answering Paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI admits only that it asked Plaintiff to provide more specific information on her restrictions and request.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI admits only that it received a letter from Plaintiff's healthcare provider suggesting a 32 hour workweek with 2 of those days being remote for 6 weeks.
- 18. CCHCI denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 19. In answering Paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI admits only that Plaintiff's supervisor changed from Dr. Melk to Jessica King.
- 20. CCHCI denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 33 and 34 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 21. CCHCI admits the allegation contained in Paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 22. CCHCI denies the allegation contained in Paragraph 36 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 23. In answering Paragraph 37 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI admits only that Plaintiff submitted a letter of resignation on February 17, 2023, where she resigned her position effective March 3, 2023.
- 24. CCHCI denies the allegation contained in Paragraph 38 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 25. CCHCI moves to strike the allegation contained in Paragraph 39 of Plaintiff's Complaint as immaterial and unrelated to the matters as issued in the lawsuit. The allegations in Paragraph 39 of Plaintiff's Complaint are included only for the purpose of inflammation and embarrassment. CCHCI denies that it or Dr. Melk has engaged in misuse of grant money,

5

17

19

improper payments of wages and benefits, improper procurement practices, improper fiscal management, security risk, compliance lapses, sex discrimination or overall mismanagement.

COUNT I Disability Discrimination, A.R.S. § 41-1461 et. seq.

- 26. Answering Paragraph 40 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI incorporates its answers to Paragraphs 1-39 of Plaintiff's Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. CCHCI is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained in Paragraph 41 of Plaintiff's Complaint, and therefore denies same and demands strict proof thereof.
- 28. CCHCI denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 42, 43, 44, 45, 46 and 47 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

COUNT II Hostile Work Environment, A.R.S. § 41-1461 et seq.

- 29. Answering Paragraph 48 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI incorporates its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 47 of Plaintiff's Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. CCHCI is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained in Paragraph 49 of Plaintiff's Complaint, and therefore denies same and demands strict proof thereof.
- 31. CCHCI denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 50, 51, 52 and 53 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

COUNT III Constructive Discharge, A.R.S. § 23-1502

- 32. In answering Paragraph 54 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CCHCI incorporates its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 53 of Plaintiff's Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. CCHCI denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 55, 56, 57, 57, 58, 59 and 60 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. As a first affirmation defense, CCHCI asserts that it maintains a policy prohibiting discrimination and harassment in the workplace and Plaintiff failed to avail herself of that policy in addressing her concerns.
- 2. As a further affirmative defense, and in the alternative, CCHCI asserts that it possessed legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons for any alleged adverse actions it took against Plaintiff.
- 3. As a further affirmative defense, and in the alternative, CCHCI asserts that Plaintiff failed to inform CCHCI that she believed she was compelled to resign as a product of unlawful discrimination as required to advance the claim of Constructive Discharge under A.R.S. §23-1502.
- 4. As a further affirmative defense, and in the alternative, CCHCI asserts that Plaintiff failed to mitigate her damages.
- 5. CCHCI reserves the right to assert additional 8(c) affirmative defenses as such become known through discovery.

WHEREFORE, having fully answers Plaintiff's First Complaint, CCHCI prays that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed, that Plaintiff take nothing thereby, and that CCHCI receive its costs in defending the matter and its attorneys' fees in defense of the matter pursuant to A.R.S. §12-341.01, 12-349 and 41-1472.

DATED this 11th day of March, 2024.

JONES, SKELTON & HOCHULI P.L.C.

By /s/ Gordon Lewis

Gordon Lewis Stephanie Baldwin 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2700 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Defendant Chiricahua Community Health Centers, Inc

ORIGINAL of the foregoing electronically filed this 11th day of March, 2024.

COPY of the foregoing mailed/e-mailed this 11th day of March, 2024, to:

Barney M. Holtzman #016554
Caitlin G. Lujan #038210
MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD
259 North Meyer Avenue
Tucson, Arizona 85701
bholtzman@mcrazlaw.com
clujan@mcrazlaw.com

ecfpima@mcrazlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Fahad

/s/ Megan Axlund

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 28 of 45 FILED Amy Hunley

CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT

03/11/2024 3:32PM

BY: ANMORENO

DEPUTY

Gordon Lewis, Bar #015162 JONES, SKELTON & HOCHULI P.L.C. 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2700 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: (602) 263-4479 Fax: (602) 200-7897 glewis@jshfirm.com minuteentries@jshfirm.com 5 Attorneys for Defendant CHIRICAHUA 6 COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS, INC 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 8 **COUNTY OF COCHISE** 9 KATIE FAHAD, an individual, NO. S0200 CV202400100 10 **DEFENDANT CERTIFICATE OF** Plaintiff, AGREEMENT REGARDING 11 COMPULSORY ABRITRATION v. 12 CHIRICAHUA COMMUNITY HEALTH (Assigned to the Honorable Terry Bannon) CENTERS, INC., an Arizona corporation, 13 Defendant. 14 15 Defendants, by and through counsel undersigned, hereby notify the Court that they 16 agree with Plaintiffs' Certificate of Compulsory Arbitration and this case is not subject to 17 compulsory arbitration as provided by Rules 72 through 76 of the Arizona Rules of Civil 18 Procedure. 19 /// /// 20 /// /// 21 /// /// 22 /// /// 23 ///

///

116777225.1

DATED this 11th day of March, 2024. 1 2 JONES, SKELTON & HOCHULI P.L.C. 3 By /s/ Gordon Lewis 5 Gordon Lewis 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2700 6 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Defendant CHIRICAHUA 7 COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS, INC 8 ORIGINAL of the foregoing electronically filed this 11th day of March, 2024. COPY of the foregoing mailed/e-mailed this 11th day of March, 2024, to: 11 Barney M. Holtzman #016554 Caitlin G. Lujan #038210 MESCH CLÄRK ROTHSCHILD 259 North Meyer Avenue Tucson, Arizona 85701 bholtzman@mcrazlaw.com clujan@mcrazlaw.com 15 ecfpima@mcrazlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Fahad 16 17

/s/ Megan Axlund

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 30 of 45 FILED Amy Hunley

CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT

03/25/2024 3:35PM

BY: TMARQUEZ

DEPUTY

COCHISE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT OFFICE OF THE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

KATIE FAHAD, Plaintiff(s),	ORDER:	CASE NO:
Vs.	REASSIGNMENT OF JUDGE	CV202400100
CHIRICAHUA COMMUNITY		
HEALTH CENTERS, INC.,		
Defendant(s).		

Pursuant to Administrative Order No. 2023-003, In Re: Regular and Special Assignments of Judges, the HONORABLE TERRY BANNON recuses itself from this case, AND a referral to the Court Administrator's office for reassignment,

This case is reassigned to HONORABLE JOHN F. KELLIHER, JR., Division Two, for all further proceedings.

Previous Divisions: VI (TB-Recusal)

DATED: 03/25/2024

xc: Barney M. Holtzman, Esq., bholtzman@mcrazlaw.com

Caitlin G. Lujan, Esq., <u>clujan@mcrazlaw.com</u>

Division Two, decollins@courts.az.gov

Court Admin/Case Mgmt. Div., courtservices@cochise.az.gov

BY: CCHAVIRA DEPUTY

1 MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD 259 North Meyer Avenue 2 Tucson, Arizona 85701 3 Phone: (520) 624-8886 (520) 798-1037 4 Email: bholtzman@mcrazlaw.com 5 ecfpima@mcrazlaw.com 6 Barney M. Holtzman, #016554 By: 12464-1 7 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT 10 **COCHISE COUNTY** 11 KATIE FAHAD, an individual, No. S0200CV202400100 12 13 Plaintiff, STIPULATION FOR FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT VS. 14 15 (Assigned to Hon. John F. Kelliher, Jr.) CHIRICAHUA COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS, INC., an Arizona corporation, 16 Defendant. 17 18 19 Pursuant to Rule 15(a)(2), Ariz. R. Civ. P., Plaintiff Katie Fahad and Defendant 20 Chiricahua Community Health Centers, Inc. stipulate to the filing of the attached Amended 21 Complaint. 22 23 24 25 26

1	DATED: November 22, 2024	MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD
2		
3		By: /s/ Barney M. Holtzman
4		Barney M. Holtzman Attorneys for Plaintiff
5	DATED: Normalia 22, 2024	1 C 0 H DI C
6	DATED: November 22, 2024	JONES, SKELTON & HOCHULI P.L.C
7		
8		By: /s/ Gordon Lewis (with permission) Gordon Lewis
9		Stephanie Baldwin Attorneys for Defendant
10		y y
11		
12	Original filed with AZ TurboCourt and	
13	copies sent by e-mail on this 22 nd day of November, 2024, to:	
14	Gordon Lewis	
15	Stephanie Baldwin	
16	Jones, Skelton & Hochuli P.L.C. 40 N. Central Avenue	
17	Suite 2700 Phoenix, AZ 85004	
18	glewis@jshfirm.com	
19	sbaldwin@jshfirm.com minuteentries@jshfirm.com	
20	Attorneys for Defendant	
21		
22		
23	By: <u>/s/ Lollie Hannigan</u>	
24		
25		
26		

DEPUTY

1	MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD		
2	259 North Meyer Avenue		
3	Tucson, Arizona 85701		
	Phone: (520) 624-8886 Fax: (520) 798-1037		
4	Email: bholtzman@mcrazlaw.com		
5	ecfpima@mcrazlaw.com		
6	By: Barney M. Holtzman, #016554		
7	12464-1		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	ARIZONA SUP	PERIOR COURT	
10	COCHISI	E COUNTY	
11	Cocinisi		
12	KATIE FAHAD, an individual,	No. S0200CV202400100	
13	Plaintiff,	(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING	
14	vs.	STIPULATION TO FILE	
	CHIRICALILIA COMMUNITY HEALTH	AMENDED COMPLAINT	
15	CHIRICAHUA COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS, INC., an Arizona corporation,	(Assigned to Hon. John F. Kelliher, Jr.)	
16	CELVIERO, INC., and Inc.	(1353,5110 to 12031 to 1111 1 1 120311102, 021)	
17	Defendant.		
18			
19			
20	This Court having considered the Stip	ulation for Filing of Amended Complaint, and	
21	for good cause shown,		
22	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED granting	the stipulation and ordering the clerk to file the	
23	Amended Complaint.		
24			
25		of State of	
26		()) 🔾	
	eS	Signed by JOHN F. KELLIHER, JR 12/02/2024 10:30:02 5AAWObPt	

Case 4:24-cv-00598-JR Document 1-3 Filed 12/13/24 Page 34 of 45 FILED Amy Hunley CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT 12/06/2024 12:28PM BY: RPORTER

1

DEPUTY

MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD 259 North Meyer Avenue 2 Tucson, Arizona 85701 3 Phone: (520) 624-8886 (520) 798-1037 4 Email: bholtzman@mcrazlaw.com 5 ecfpima@mcrazlaw.com 6 By: Barney M. Holtzman, #016554 12464-1 7 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT 10 **COCHISE COUNTY** 11 KATIE FAHAD, an individual, No. S0200CV202400100 12 13 Plaintiff, NOTICE OF FILING AMENDED **COMPLAINT NUNC PRO TUNC** VS. 14 15 CHIRICAHUA COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS, INC., an Arizona corporation, (Assigned to Hon. John F. Kelliher, Jr.) 16 Defendant. 17 18 19 Notice is given that Plaintiff files its Amended Complaint, nunc pro tunc as of 20 November 22, 2024, the date the Amended Complaint was submitted to the Court with the 21 written consent of all opposing parties who had appeared in the action. See Rule 15(a)(2), 22 Ariz. R. Civ. P.; Order Granting Stipulation to File Amended Complaint signed on December 23 2, 2024. 24 25 /// 26

RESPECTUFLLY December 6, 2024 1 MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD 2 3 By: /s/ Barney M. Holtzman Barney M. Holtzman 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff 5 Original filed with AZ TurboCourt and 6 copies sent by e-mail on this 6th day 7 of December, 2024 to: 8 Gordon Lewis 9 Stephanie Baldwin Jones, Skelton & Hochuli P.L.C. 10 40 N. Central Avenue **Suite 2700** 11 Phoenix, AZ 85004 12 glewis@jshfirm.com sbaldwin@jshfirm.com 13 minuteentries@jshfirm.com Attorneys for Defendant 14 15 16 By:/s/Lollie Hannigan 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

1	MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD		
2	259 North Meyer Avenue		
3	Tucson, Arizona 85701		
3	Phone: (520) 624-8886		
4	Fax: (520) 798-1037 Email: bholtzman@mcrazlaw.com		
5	ecfpima@mcrazlaw.com		
6	By: Barney M. Holtzman, #016554		
7	12464-1		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT		
10	ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT		
11	COCHISE COUNTY		
11			
12	KATIE FAHAD, an individual,	No. CV202400100	
13	Plaintiff,	AMENDED COMPLAINT	
14	VS.		
		(T. 711.15	
15	CHIRICAHUA COMMUNITY HEALTH	(Jury Trial Requested)	
16	CENTERS, INC., an Arizona corporation,	(Assigned to Hon. John F. Kelliher, Jr.)	
17	Defendant.	(Assigned to Iron. John I. Renniel, Jr.)	
18			
19			
20	For her complaint, Plaintiff Katie Fahad ("Fahad") alleges as follows:		
21	PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE		
22	1. Plaintiff Katie Fahad is a resident of Cochise County, Arizona and at all		
23	relevant times had been the Director of Health Center Operations in the employment of		
24	Defendant Chiricahua Community Health Centers, Inc. ("CCHCI") in Arizona.		
25	2. Defendant CCHCI is a non-profit corporation doing business in Cochise		

County, Arizona.

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter as all relevant events occurred in Cochise County.
- 4. At all relevant times, Fahad was an "employee" of Defendant as defined by A.R.S. § 41-1461 and 42 U.S.C. §12111(4).
- 5. At all relevant times, CCHCI was an employer of Fahad as defined by A.R.S. § 41-1461 and 42 U.S.C. §12111(5).
- 6. Fahad is a qualified individual with a disability under A.R.S. § 41-1461 and 42 U.S.C. §12111(8).
 - 7. Venue is proper in this Court under A.R.S. § 12-401.
- 8. This is a Tier 2 case under Rule 26.2(b), Ariz. R. Civ. P., and is not eligible for assignment to compulsory arbitration.
- 9. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies and has timely brought this action.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. In or around February 2022, Fahad began her employment with CCHCI as the Director of Health Center Operations.
- 11. Beginning in or around April 2022, Fahad was given a remote work agreement by CCHCI.
- 12. The remote work agreement did not have an expiration date and permitted Fahad to work from home once per week.
- 13. Fahad performed her work, at least satisfactorily, between April 2022 and January 2023.
- 14. In January 2023, CCHCI's CEO Jonathan Melk ("Melk") was Fahad's direct supervisor.
- 15. Fahad took January 3 and 4, 2023 off from work. She informed CCHCI she needed the time off because she was "unwell."

- 16. On or around the evening of January 4, 2023, Melk requested over instant message that Fahad perform a site visit of a clinic on January 5, 2023.
- 17. Fahad informed Melk that, due to her disability, she would not be able to attend in person on that day, would be utilizing her work from home day, and would visit the clinic on January 6, 2023.
- 18. Melk denied Fahad's reasonable accommodation request and terminated her remote work agreement over instant message at or about 9:00 p.m. on January 4, 2023.
- 19. Melk did not suggest another reasonable accommodation, request additional information about Fahad's disability or symptoms, or request information about her mental impairment.
- 20. On or around January 5, 2023, Fahad provided Melk with medical documentation from her doctor supporting the need for reasonable accommodation.
- 21. Melk demanded Fahad provide personal information about her specific disability, which Fahad initially refused.
- 22. After the third demand from Melk, Fahad provided him the requested personal information about her disability.
- 23. After Fahad provided the additional personal information about her disability and again requested she be permitted to work remotely at least one day per week, Melk stated again her remote work agreement was revoked.
 - 24. Melk did not suggest another reasonable accommodation.
- 25. Other similarly situated non-disabled employees of CCHCI are permitted to work remotely entirely and are not subject to any in-office requirements.
- 26. Other similarly situated non-disabled employees of CCHCI are permitted to work remotely at least one-day a week.
- 27. Beginning on or about January 6, 2023, and continuing through February 2023, Fahad made numerous complaints to CCHCI's Human Resources Department,

8

10

1213

11

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

26

including written requests for reasonable accommodation.

- 28. Fahad's initial request for accommodation included a letter from her doctor suggesting either some remote work or a reduction in working hours.
- 29. CCHCI's HR Department directed Fahad to get a revised doctor note before they would consider her accommodations request.
- 30. Fahad reached out to her provider, who sent a revised letter requesting a 32-hour work week where two days per week would be remote.
- 31. CCHCI's HR Department denied Fahad's reasonable accommodation request for remote work and failed to engage in an interactive process by refusing to discuss their decision.
- 32. After Fahad's complaints, CCHCI changed Fahad's supervisor from Melk, the CEO, to Jessica King ("King"), the Chief of Staff, and Fahad was told to refrain from contacting Melk.
- 33. After Fahad's complaints, Melk and King excluded Fahad from meetings, cancelled meetings with her, and undermined her in front of other employees.
- 34. Melk's and King's actions continually triggered the symptoms of Fahad's disability.
 - 35. Fahad complained to HR about her treatment by Melk and King.
 - 36. CCHCI took no effective corrective action to address Fahad's concerns.
- 37. In or around February 2023, Fahad felt compelled to submit her resignation, listing her last day of work as March 3, 2023.
 - 38. CCHCI's HR changed Fahad's last day of work to February 23, 2023.
- 39. In March 2023, Fahad raised concerns to CCHCI's Board of Directors about CCHCI's and Melk's misuse of grant money, improper payment of wages and benefits, improper procurement practices, fiscal mismanagement, security risks, compliance lapses, sex discrimination, and overall mismanagement.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

COUNT I

Disability Discrimination A.R.S. § 41-1461 *et seq.* and 42 U.S.C. §12101 *et seq.*

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations above.
- 41. Plaintiff has a disability under A.R.S. § 41-1461(5) and 42 U.S.C. §12102.
- 42. Defendant subjected Plaintiff to different terms and conditions of employment based on her disability.
- 43. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with reasonable accommodations based on her disability.
 - 44. Defendant constructively discharged Plaintiff.
- 45. Defendant's stated reasons, if any, for failing to provide Plaintiff with reasonable accommodations for her disability are pretextual.
- 46. Defendant's discriminatory conduct was deliberate, willful, and performed with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has suffered financial and emotional damages.

COUNT II

Hostile Work Environment A.R.S. § 41-1461 et seq. and 42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq.

- 48. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations above.
- 49. Plaintiff has a disability under A.R.S. § 41-1461(5) and 42 U.S.C. §12102.
- 50. Defendant subjected Plaintiff to different terms and conditions of employment based on her disability.

24

25

- 51. Defendant treatment and actions against Plaintiff constitute violations of the Arizona Civil Rights Act, A.R.S. § 41-1463, and the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12112, which prohibit workplace discrimination.
- 52. Defendant's discriminatory conduct was deliberate, willful, and performed with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights.
- 53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has suffered financial and emotional damages, including emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of enjoyment of life.

COUNT III

Constructive Discharge, A.R.S. § 23-1502

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations above.
- 55. Plaintiff's working conditions were objectively difficult or unpleasant to the extent that a reasonable employee would feel compelled to resign.
- 56. Plaintiff gave Defendant at least fifteen days' notice that Plaintiff intended to resign because of her working conditions.
 - 57. Defendant failed to respond to Plaintiff's concerns.
- 58. Plaintiff felt compelled to resign because of the objectively difficult or unpleasant working conditions to which she was subjected.
 - 59. Defendant constructively discharged Fahad.
- 60. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Katie Fahad respectfully requests:

A. Compensatory damages for pain and suffering, humiliation, damage to reputation, loss of enjoyment of life and emotional distress in an amount to be proven at trial;

1	B.	B. Back pay and front pay in an amount to be proven at trial;		
2	C.	C. Punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial;		
3	D.	Attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this lawsuit under A.R.S. §§ 12-341 & 41		
4	1472 and 42	472 and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e; and		
5	E.	Additional relief as the C	Court deems just.	
6				
7				
8	DATED:	November 22, 2024	MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD	
9				
10			By: <u>/s/ Barney M. Holtzman</u> Barney M. Holtzman	
11			Attorneys for Plaintiff	
12				
13	Original file	ad with A7 Truth of count and	1	
14 15	Original filed with AZ TurboCourt and copies sent by e-mail on this 22nd day			
16	of November	er, 2024, to:		
17	Gordon Lewis Stephanie Baldwin Jones, Skelton & Hochuli P.L.C.			
18				
	40 N. Centr Suite 2700	al Avenue		
19	Phoenix, AZ			
20	glewis@jsh shaldwin@i	<u>firm.com</u> ishfirm.com		
21	minuteentri	es@jshfirm.com		
22	Attorneys fo	or Defendant		
23	By: /s/ Lolli	<u>ie Hannigan</u>		
24	J			
25				
26				

EXHIBIT C

Gordon Lewis, Bar #015162
Stephanie Baldwin #036897
JONES, SKELTON & HOCHULI P.L.C.
40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2700
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
Telephone: (602) 263-4479
Fax: (602) 200-7897
glewis@jshfirm.com
sbaldwin@jshfirm.com
minuteentries@jshfirm.com

Attorneys for Defendant Chiricahua
Community Health Centers, Inc

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

COUNTY OF COCHISE

Plaintiff, NO

v.

KATIE FAHAD, an individual,

CHIRICAHUA COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS, INC., an Arizona corporation,

Defendant.

NO. S0200 CV202400100

NOTICE OF FILING NOTICE OF REMOVAL

(Assigned to the Honorable John F. Kelliher, Jr.)

Defendant Chiricahua Community Health Centers, INC., pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 1441, and 1446 hereby notify this Court that a Notice of Removal of this action to the United States District Court of Arizona was filed this same date. A copy of the Notice of Removal (exclusive of exhibits) is attached as **Exhibit A.**

21

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

DATED this 13th day of December, 2024. 1 2 JONES, SKELTON & HOCHULI P.L.C. 3 By/s/ Stephanie D. Baldwin Gordon Lewis Stephanie Baldwin 5 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2700 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 6 Attorneys for Defendant Chiricahua Community Health Centers, Inc 7 ORIGINAL of the foregoing electronically filed this 13th day of December, 2024. COPY of the foregoing mailed/e-mailed this 13th day of December, 2024, to: 11 Barney M. Holtzman #016554 Caitlin G. Lujan #038210 MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD 259 North Meyer Avenue Tucson, Arizona 85701 bholtzman@mcrazlaw.com clujan@mcrazlaw.com ecfpima@mcrazlaw.com 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff Fahad 16 17 /s/ Gail Hardin 18 19 20 21 22 23

24